

REMARKS

Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1-10, 12-15 and 17-24 have been amended. The amendments to the claims have been made to only correct grammatical and informalities issues. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the amendments and following comments.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

Claims 1-24 are rejected under §102(e) as being anticipated by Rajkotia. (US 2005/0053023). Applicants traverse this rejection.

The Examiner alleges that Rajkotia teaches all features recited in independent claims 1, 13, 20 and 23. For example, the Examiner alleges that Rajkotia teaches transmitting differential and partial BSPM parameters.

The independent claims recite that a wireless communication network transmits and/or receives at least differential and partial BSPM parameters. The present application discloses that “Parameters contained in differential BSPMs are used to update parameters for existing flows and/or provide parameters for new flows that will be carried in the sector.” (Emphasis added). Page 8, lines 16-18. The present application also discloses that “Partial BSPMs differ from differential BSPMs in the multiple partial BSPMs can be transmitted by the base station and used by the mobile terminals to reconstruct the full BSPM.” (Emphasis added.) Page 9, lines 13-15. The partial BSPMs are sent because a full BSPM may be too long to be sent in a single transmission. Applicants also submit that the independent claims, as recited, clearly define the differential BSPM and partial BSPM.

On the other hand, Rajkotia teaches that a BSP message controller may send either a full BSPM or a “differential” BSPM providing new, updated information. (Emphasis added). Paragraph [0053]. Rajkotia fails to suggest or teach a partial BSPM, “each partial BSPM containing information for one or more different BCMC flows, the sequence of plural partial BSPMs together containing information for all existing BCMC flows” as recited, for example, in claim 1.

For at least the reasons given above, Applicants submit that independent claims 1, 13, 20 and 23 are patentable over Rajkotia. Dependent claims 2-12, 14-19, 21, 22 and 24 are also patentable for respectively depending on an allowable base claim.

THE REMAINDER OF THE PAGE HAS BEEN LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

CONCLUSION

In view of the above remarks and amendments, the Applicants respectfully submit that each of the rejections has been addressed and overcome, placing the present application in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Gary D. Yacura at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

By



Ex. No. 45,261

gn

Gary D. Yacura, Reg. No. 35,416
P.O. Box 8910
Reston, Virginia 20195
(703) 668-8000

GDY/LYP:psy